arXiv:1702.07513v2 [math.MG] 3 Apr 2017

WAIST OF BALLS IN HYPERBOLIC AND SPHERICAL SPACES

ARSENIY AKOPYAN♠ AND ROMAN KARASEV♣

Abstract. In this paper we find a tight estimate for Gromov's waist of the balls in spaces of constant curvature, deduce the estimates for the balls in Riemannian manifolds with upper bounds on the curvature (CAT(κ)-spaces), and establish similar result for normed spaces.

1. Introduction

The Gromov–Memarian waist of the sphere theorem [9, 15, 12] asserts that given a continuous map $f:\mathbb{S}^n\to Y$, with $\mathbb{S}^n$ the unit round sphere, Y an $(n-k)$-dimensional manifold, and map having zero degree if $k=0$ (in what follows we only consider the case $k>0$ and do not care about the degree), it is possible to find $y\in Y$ such that for every $t\geq 0$

$$\operatorname{vol}\nu_t(f^{-1}(y),\mathbb{S}^n)\geq\operatorname{vol}\nu_t(\mathbb{S}^k,\mathbb{S}^n),$$

where $\nu_t(X,M)$ denotes the t-neighborhood of X in the Rimannian manifold M.

Going to the limit $t\to 0$ this proves the result of Almgren [4], that for any sufficiently regular map $f:\mathbb{S}^n\to\mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ with all fibers piece-wise smooth manifolds, there exists $y\in\mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ such that the k-dimensional volume of the fiber $f^{-1}(y)$ is greater or equal to the volume of the sphere $\mathbb{S}^k$. For merely continuous maps the Gromov–Memarian theorem proves that $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_k f^{-1}(y)\geq\operatorname{vol}_k\mathbb{S}^k$, where $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_k$ denotes *the lower Minkowski content*, which is defined in the following way: Let M be a Riemannian manifold of dimension n and $X\subseteq M$ be its subset,

$$\underline{\mathcal{M}}_k(X,M):=\liminf_{t\to+0}\frac{\operatorname{vol}\nu_t(X,M)}{v_{n-k}t^{n-k}}\quad\text{and}\quad\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(X,M):=\limsup_{t\to+0}\frac{\operatorname{vol}\nu_t(X,M)}{v_{n-k}t^{n-k}},$$

where v_m is the volume of the m-dimensional Euclidean unit ball. These values are called *lower and upper Minkowski k-dimensional content* and are normalized to coincide with the Riemannian k-dimensional volume of X in the case X is a smooth submanifold of M.

Gromov defines *k-waist* of a set X as the infimum of the numbers of $w>0$ for which X admits a continuous map $X\to\mathbb{R}^{n-k}$, such that the k-dimensional volume of the preimage of any point $y\in\mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ is not greater than w. So, the k-waist of the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^n$ in terms of lower Minkowski content equals the volume of $\mathbb{S}^k$. In fact, the question of how we define "k-volume" is rather subtle. One can consider k-dimensional Riemannian volume and ask for sufficiently regular maps, consider the lower Minkowski content for fibers for arbitrary continuous maps, or even consider the Hausdorff measure of the fibers; the latter case is the hardest, see the discussion and the references in [1].

Not much was known about waists of other Riemannian manifolds. But recently Klartag in [13] proved that the k-waist of the unit cube equals 1. This generalizes the Vaaler theorem [19] stating that the volume of any section of the unit cube by a k-plane passing through its origin has k-volume at least 1. Klartag's idea was to transport the Gaussian measure to the uniform measure of the cube by a 1-Lipschitz map and apply Gromov's waist theorem for the Gaussian measure [9], see Section 2 for the statement. In addition Klartag solved Guth's problem on waists of parallelepipeds in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and gave some general results for the waists of convex bodies that we also consider in Section 5.3.

♠ Supported by People Programme (Marie Curie Actions) of the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under REA grant agreement n°[291734].

♣ Supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research grant 15-01-99563 A.

In [3] the current authors prove that the k-waist of the unit ball (in the sense of the lower Minkowski content) in $\mathbb{R}^n$ equals the volume of the k-dimension unit ball. That proof is based on an application of the Archimedes lemma about projecting the uniform measure of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ to a uniform measure in the Euclidean ball B^n. Other estimates of waists in different situations were obtained in [1], in particular it was proved that the 1-waist (in terms of the Hausdorff measure) of a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^n$ coincides with the width of the body.

In the review [10, 2.6] Gromov rises the question of waist of balls in symmetric spaces; we answer this question for the cases of the sphere and the hyperbolic space:

Theorem 1.1. (The spherical case) *Let $B(R) \subset \mathbb{S}^n$ be a ball of radius R, $R < \pi$, Y an $(n-k)$ dimensional manifold, and $k > 0$. Then for any continuous map $f : B(R) \to Y$ it is possible to find $y \in Y$ such that $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_k\, f^{-1}(y)$ is at least the volume of the k-dimensional ball of radius R in $\mathbb{S}^k$.*

(The hyperbolic case) *Let $B(R) \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a ball of radius R, Y an $(n-k)$ dimensional manifold, and $k > 0$. Then for any continuous map $f : B(R) \to Y$ it is possible to find $y \in Y$ such that $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_k\, f^{-1}(y)$ is at least the volume of the k-dimensional ball of radius R in $\mathbb{H}^k$.*

The idea of the proof is to radially shrink the measure coming from the stereographic projection of the sphere and apply the Gromov–Memarian waist theorem. As a corollary we obtain some results for metric balls in CAT(κ) Riemannian manifolds. Let us remind that a Riemannian manifold is a CAT(κ)-space (see [8]) if it is complete, simply connected, and has sectional curvature $\le \kappa$ everywhere, see [8, Page 118, (a')].

Denote by $\mathbb{M}^n_\kappa$ the n-dimensional hyperbolic space with curvature κ in case $\kappa < 0$, Euclidean space for $\kappa = 0$, and the sphere of radius $1/\sqrt{\kappa}$, for $\kappa > 0$.

We will use the following *triangle comparison* property of CAT(κ) spaces (it is the definition of CAT(κ) in [8, Page 117–118]): Any triangle ABC, with perimeter less than $2\pi/\sqrt{\kappa}$ in case $\kappa > 0$, can be compared to a triangle $A'B'C' \subset \mathbb{M}^2_\kappa$ in the following way. If we have $\operatorname{dist}(A,B) = \operatorname{dist}(A',B')$, $\operatorname{dist}(A,C) = \operatorname{dist}(A',C')$ and the angle between the shortest segments $[A,B]$ and $[A,C]$ is the same as the angle between $[A',B']$ and $[A',C']$ then $\operatorname{dist}(B,C) \ge \operatorname{dist}(B',C')$. It follows easily from the angle comparison property (see [18, Theorem 3.9.1.]) and the monotone dependence of the length of $[B'C']$ on the angle A'. Now we state the results for CAT(κ) spaces:

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold, which is* CAT(κ)*; and let $B_M(R) \subset M$ be a ball of radius R there, $R < \pi/\sqrt{\kappa}$ in case $\kappa > 0$. Then for any continuous map $f : B_M(R) \to Y$, with Y an $(n-k)$-dimensional manifold and $k > 0$, it is possible to find $y \in Y$ such that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k\, f^{-1}(y)$ is at least the volume of the k-dimensional ball in the model space $\mathbb{M}^k_\kappa$.*

We also state the following consequence of the above theorem for the whole CAT(1) manifold:

Corollary 1.3. *Let M be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold, which is* CAT(1)*. Then for any continuous map $f : M \to Y$, with Y an $(n-k)$ dimensional manifold and $k > 0$, it is possible to find $y \in Y$ such that*

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k\, f^{-1}(y) \ge \operatorname{vol}_k \mathbb{S}^k.$$

We conjecture that the above two results, proved for the upper Minkowski content, hold for the lower Minkowski content as well, but could not handle the technicalities to establish this.

The paper organized in the following way: In Section 2 we remind the definition of the Minkowski content for metric spaces with a density. In Section 3 we show that certain type of radial transformations of a density in $\mathbb{R}^n$ preserves the waist inequality. After that, in Section 4 we apply this transformation to the densities in the Euclidean space obtained by the conformal projection from the model spaces. This proves Theorem 1.1 for sufficiently regular maps and the waist in terms of the Riemannian k-volume. In the end of this section we give proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 for sufficiently regular maps.

Section 5 addresses the waist in terms of the lower Minkowski content by modifying some parts of the Gromov–Memarian argument. In 5.1 we show how to change the proof of the Gromov–Memarian theorem so that it remains valid for certain discontinuous maps from the sphere. In 5.2 we give the proof of Theorem 1.1 in its full form. In Section 5.3 we give a version of the waist theorem for normed spaces, similar to a result by Klartag.

In the last section section we discuss the monotonicity of the Minkowski content under 1-Lipschitz maps and prove Theorem 1.2.

1.1. **Acknowledgments.** The authors thank Anton Petrunin for pointing out the properties of CAT(κ) spaces that we use.

2. Some observations and notation

If we consider the problem for sufficiently regular smooth maps and measure the fiber with the Riemannian k-volume then the general idea becomes very clear. Suppose X_1 and X_2 equipped with a Riemannian metric and a smooth one-to-one map $h : X_2 \to X_1$ does not decrease k-volumes, this assumption has an expression in terms of the derivative of the map. Then the waist of X_2 is no less than the waist of X_1. Indeed, for any map $f : X_2 \to Y$, there is a map $h^{-1} \circ f : X_1 \to Y$, for which there is a $y \in Y$ with big k-dimensional volume of $h^{-1} \circ f(y)$. Since h does not decrease k-volumes, we obtain that $f^{-1}(y)$ has also a big k-volume.

The map constructed in the further sections can be considered as a map for spherical and hyperbolical balls as X_2 and the sphere without a point as X_1, for which we modify the Gromov–Memarian theorem. For the proof of Theorem 1.2 we use balls in model spaces as X_1 and connect X_1 and X_2 through exponential maps.

In the middle of our argument also appear Riemannian volumes with densities, so we make some general remarks first. In [9, Question 3.1] Gromov asked about the assumptions on a rotation invariant density in $\mathbb{R}^n$ (and a rotation invariant Riemannian metric) that guarantee a waist inequality with the minimum attained at the k-plane through the origin. We will consider $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the standard Euclidean metric and a rotation invariant density and give examples where the waist defined in terms of the weighted Riemannian k-volume is indeed attained at any linear k-subspace through the origin.

Now let us choose some notation. We consider a density ρ on an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold M and define the *k-dimensional weighted Riemannian volume* of a k-dimensional (piece-wise) smooth submanifold $X \subset M$ as

$$\operatorname{vol}_{k,\rho} X = \int_X \rho \operatorname{vol}_{g|_X},$$

where $\operatorname{vol}_{g|_X}$ is the Riemannian k-dimensional density on X corresponding to the restriction of g to X, given in local coordinates $u_1, \dots, u_k$ as $\sqrt{\det g|_X}\, du_1 \dots du_k$.

We will frequently use the fact that the Riemannian volume for nice sets (like piece-wise smooth manifolds) can be calculated as the Minkowski content, so that for its weighted version we have the formula:

$$\operatorname{vol}_{k,\rho} = \lim_{t \in +0} \frac{\int_{\nu_t(X,M)} \rho \operatorname{vol}_g}{v_{n-k} t^{n-k}}, \tag{2.1}$$

where ν_t denotes the t-neighborhood, vol_g is the Riemannian density in M corresponding to the metric g, and v_ℓ is the volume of the unit ℓ-dimensional Euclidean ball. We will use the notation $\operatorname{vol}_{n,\rho} = \rho \operatorname{vol}_g$ to simplify the formulas and will simply write for n-dimensional subsets $U \subseteq M$, $\operatorname{vol}_{n,\rho}(U) = \int_U \rho \operatorname{vol}_g$.

In [9] Gromov proved the waist theorem for the Gaussian measure: Given a continuous map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to Y$, where $\mathbb{R}^n$ is equipped with a Gaussian density ρ, Y an $(n-k)$ dimensional manifold, it is possible to find $y \in Y$ such that

$$\operatorname{vol}_{n,\rho} \nu_t(f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{S}^n) \geq \operatorname{vol}_{n,\rho} \nu_t(\mathbb{S}^k, \mathbb{S}^n).$$

Speaking in terms of the weighted (lower) Minkowski content, we may conclude that

$$\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{k,\rho} f^{-1}(y) \geq \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{k,\rho} \mathbb{R}^k,$$

if we put for a Riemannian manifold M with a density ρ

$$\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{k,\rho}(X, M) = \liminf_{t\to+0} \frac{\mathrm{vol}_{n,\rho}\, \nu_t(X, M)}{v_{n-k} t^{n-k}} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{k,\rho}(X, M) = \limsup_{t\to+0} \frac{\mathrm{vol}_{n,\rho}\, \nu_t(X, M)}{v_{n-k} t^{n-k}}.$$

3. Behaviour of waists with weights under radial maps

In this section we consider the Euclidean space with a density. By the k-waist of a density ρ we call the infimum of the numbers of $w > 0$ such that there is a regular (piece-wise real-analytic) map to a smooth manifold $\mathbb{R}^n \to Y^{n-k}$, such that the k-dimensional ρ-weighted volume of the fibers $f^{-1}(y)$ for all $y \in Y^{n-k}$ is not greater than w. The assumption that the map is piece-wise real analytic allows us to think of the fibers $f^{-1}(y)$ as piece-wise real-analytic submanifolds of certain dimension.

Suppose we are given a radial (only depending on the distance to the origin) density ρ, for which we know that its k-waist equals to $\mathrm{vol}_{k,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^k)$, here $\mathbb{R}^k \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a linear subspace. Our goal is to infer the same statement for another radial density σ.

Suppose $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is an increasing continuous function, with $\varphi(0) = 0$ and having property:

$$x\varphi'(x) > \varphi(x). \tag{3.1}$$

It has a simple geometric meaning: Any tangent line to the graph of φ passes below the origin.

Now, consider the map $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ that maps any point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to $\varphi(|\mathbf{x}|)\frac{\mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|}$. Its Jacobian (derivative) has eigenvalues $\varphi'(|\mathbf{x}|)$ in the radial direction and $\frac{\varphi(|\mathbf{x}|)}{|\mathbf{x}|}$ ($d-1$ times) in the directions orthogonal to the radial direction. It is easy to see that (3.1) is equivalent to saying that the largest eigenvalue of the Jacobian is $\varphi'(|\mathbf{x}|)$. Therefore for any k-dimensional submanifold X:

$$\int_{F(X)} \rho(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \leq \int_X \varphi'(|\mathbf{x}|) \left(\frac{\varphi(|\mathbf{x}|)}{|\mathbf{x}|}\right)^{k-1} \rho(F(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x}. \tag{3.2}$$

Suppose we are given a density σ and a function φ such that

$$\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi'(|\mathbf{x}|) \left(\frac{\varphi(|\mathbf{x}|)}{|\mathbf{x}|}\right)^{k-1} \rho(F(\mathbf{x})). \tag{3.3}$$

Note that $\sigma(\mathbb{R}^k) = \rho(\mathbb{R}^k)$. Indeed,

$$\mathrm{vol}_{k,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \sigma(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \rho(F(\mathbf{x}))\varphi'(|\mathbf{x}|) \left(\frac{\varphi(|\mathbf{x}|)}{|\mathbf{x}|}\right)^{k-1} d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \rho(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} = \mathrm{vol}_{k,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^k)$$

Assume the contrary to what we want to prove: The k-waist of σ is less than $\mathrm{vol}_{k,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^k) = \mathrm{vol}_{k,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^k)$. This means the existence of a regular map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to Y$ for which the preimage of any point has σ-weighted volume smaller than $\mathrm{vol}_{k,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^k) - \varepsilon$. Consider the map $s = f \circ F^{-1}$. By the assumption on ρ, for some $y \in Y$, the measure of $s^{-1}(y)$ is at least $\mathrm{vol}_{k\,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^k)$. Let us rewrite it:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{vol}_{k,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^k) &\leq \int_{s^{-1}(y)} \rho(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \leq \int_{f^{-1}(y)} \rho(F(\mathbf{x})) \left|JF(\mathbf{x})|_{f^{-1}(y)}\right| d\mathbf{x} \leq \\
&\leq \int_{f^{-1}(y)} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi'(|\mathbf{x}|)} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{x}|}{\varphi(|\mathbf{x}|)}\right)^{k-1} \sigma(\mathbf{x})\right) \left(\varphi'(|\mathbf{x}|) \left(\frac{\varphi(|\mathbf{x}|)}{|\mathbf{x}|}\right)^{k-1} d\mathbf{x}\right) = \\
&= \int_{f^{-1}(y)} \sigma(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \leq k\text{-waist of } \sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

We have a contradiction. Thus we have proved:

Theorem 3.1. *If the k-waist of a radial density ρ equals $\mathrm{vol}_{k,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^k)$, a radial map F is given by the function φ with $x\varphi'(x) > \varphi(x)$, and another density σ satisfies*

$$\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi'(|\mathbf{x}|)\left(\frac{\varphi(|\mathbf{x}|)}{|\mathbf{x}|}\right)^{k-1}\rho(F(\mathbf{x})),$$

then the density σ also has k-waist equal to $\mathrm{vol}_{k,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^k) = \mathrm{vol}_{k,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^k)$. In this theorem we speak about k-waist for sufficiently regular maps and fibers in terms of their Riemannian k-volume.

In the equality connecting σ and ρ it is convenient to replace the densities σ and ρ with

$$\sigma_k(|\mathbf{x}|) = \sigma(\mathbf{x})|\mathbf{x}|^{k-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_k(|\mathbf{x}|) = \rho(\mathbf{x})|\mathbf{x}|^{k-1}$$

Now (3.3) becomes just

$$\sigma_k(x) = \varphi'(x)\rho_k(\varphi(x)). \tag{3.4}$$

In terms of $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$ this becomes

$$\psi'(x)\sigma_k(\psi(x)) = \rho_k(x), \tag{3.5}$$

where ψ will evidently satisfy the opposite assumption

$$x\psi'(x) < \psi(x).$$

Remark 3.2. The geometric meaning of the integrated (3.4) is that F sends any k-dimensional Euclidean ball $B^k(r) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ centered at the origin to another k-dimensional Euclidean ball $B^k(R)$ so that the σ-weighted k-volume of $B^k(r)$ equals the ρ-weighted k-volume of $B^k(R)$. This can be seen by comparing the derivatives by r and by R of the respective weighted volumes.

The meaning of the inequality $x\varphi'(x) > \varphi(x)$ is that the local increase in the k-dimensional Riemannian k-volume under F is maximal for k-submanifolds tangent to the radial direction.

4. Proof of the theorems for the k-Riemannian volume

4.1. **Riemannian k-volume version of Theorem 1.1.** Let us construct certain densities ρ having k-dimensional waist equal to $\mathrm{vol}_{k,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^k)$. What we definitely know is the Gromov–Memarian theorem on the waist of the sphere [9, 15]. Consider the sphere to be given by $|\mathbf{x}|^2 + y^2 = 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and project it stereographically from $(\mathbf{0}, 1)$ on the plane $y = -1$. This map S will be conformal with factor $1 + |\mathbf{x}|^2$ (at the image point $\mathbf{x}$). Note that discontinuity at one point in the Gromov–Memarian theorem is allowed thanks to Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 below.

Therefore the k-dimensional Riemannian volume on the sphere is transformed to the $\mathrm{vol}_{k,\rho}$ with

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(1+|\mathbf{x}|^2)^k},$$

that is the Riemannian k-volume of a k-dimensional submanifold $X \subset \mathbb{S}^n$ equals the ρ-weighted Riemannian k-volume of $S(X)$. This allows us to conclude with

Theorem 4.1. *For sufficiently regular maps and fibers in terms of their Riemannian k-volume, the k-waist of the radial density $\rho(x) = \frac{1}{(1+x^2)^k}$ equals $\mathrm{vol}_{k,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^k)$.*

Note that this density depends on k and does not depend on the ambient dimension n, so unlike the Gromov–Memarian result, or Gromov's theorem on the waist of the Gaussian density, we use a specific density for every particular value of k.

Now we want to start from the established case $\rho(x) = \frac{1}{(1+x^2)^k}$ and obtain something else. Denote by $\Sigma(t)$ and $\mathrm{P}(t)$ the functions $\int_0^t \sigma_k(x)dx$ and $\int_0^t \rho_k(x)dx$ respectively, recall that $\sigma_k(x) = \sigma(x)x^{k-1}$ and $\rho_k(x) = \rho(x)x^{k-1}$. Then we can rewrite (3.5) in the form:

$$\frac{d}{dx}\Sigma(\psi(x)) = \mathrm{P}'(x)\ \forall x \Leftrightarrow \Sigma(\psi(x)) = \mathrm{P}(x)\ \forall x \Leftrightarrow \psi(x) = \Sigma^{-1}(\mathrm{P}(x)).$$

The assumption $x\psi'(x) < \psi(x)$ is equivalent to that $\psi(x)/x$ monotonicaly decrease (just differentiate). Setting $x = \mathrm{P}^{-1}(y)$, there remains to prove that

$$\frac{\psi(x)}{x} = \frac{\Sigma^{-1}(y)}{\mathrm{P}^{-1}(y)}$$

monotonically decrease.

So ψ is defined through the equality $\Sigma(\psi(x)) = \mathrm{P}(x)$. Choose any $x_1 < x_2$, we need to show that $\frac{\psi(x_1)}{x_1} > \frac{\psi(x_2)}{x_2}$. Since the monotonicity of Σ it is sufficient to show that $\Sigma\left(\frac{\psi(x_1)}{x_1}x_2\right)$ is greater than $\mathrm{P}(x_2) = \Sigma(\psi(x_2))$. Denote $\frac{\psi(x_1)}{x_1}$ by c. Our aim is to show

$$1 = \frac{\int_0^{cx_1} \sigma_k(t)dt}{\int_0^{x_1} \rho_k(t)dt} <? \frac{\int_0^{cx_2} \sigma_k(t)dt}{\int_0^{x_2} \rho_k(t)dt} \tag{4.1}$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{1}{c} = \frac{\int_0^{x_1} \sigma_k(ct)dt}{\int_0^{x_1} \rho_k(t)dt} <? \frac{\int_0^{x_2} \sigma_k(ct)dt}{\int_0^{x_2} \rho_k(t)dt} \tag{4.2}$$

"Gromov's inequality" [5, p.42] states that it is sufficient to prove that $\frac{\sigma_k(ct)}{\rho_t(t)}$ is increasing to have the desired inequality.

Now assume we want to estimate the waist of a spherical cap (a ball in the spherical geometry). The whole sphere projects to give the density $\rho(x) = \frac{1}{(1+x^2)^k}$, while the cap will have density $\sigma(x) = \frac{A}{(1+x^2)^k}$ when $x \in [0, R']$ and 0 for $x >'$, where $R' = \frac{2}{\cos(R/2)}$ is a radius of the cap after the stereographic projection S, and $A > 1$ is chosen so that the total integrals of $\rho_k = \frac{x^{k-1}}{(1+x^2)^k}$ and $\sigma_k(x) = \sigma(x)x^{k-1}$ coincide (and equals the volume of $\mathbb{S}^k$). In this case we have to consider

$$\frac{\sigma_k(ct)}{\rho_t(t)} = \frac{\frac{A}{(1+(cx)^2)^k}c^{k-1}x^{k-1}}{\frac{1}{(1+x^2)^k}x^{k-1}} = Ac^{k-1}\left(\frac{1+x^2}{1+(cx)^2}\right)^k \tag{4.3}$$

and show it is increasing. A simple transformation gives

$$\frac{1+x^2}{1+c^2x^2} = c^{-2} + \frac{1-c^{-2}}{1+c^2x^2}, \tag{4.4}$$

which is clearly increasing if we show that $c < 1$. Indeed, since $A > 1$, the density for spherical cap is larger then density comping from stereographic projection of the whole sphere, therefore for small x, $\psi(x) < x$, and as we have seen the ratio $\frac{\psi(x)}{x}$ only decrease in x. This argument proves the spherical case of Theorem 1.1 for a regular map f. More precisely we proved the following:

Proposition 4.2. *The waist of sufficiently regular maps $C \to Y^{n-k}$ for a spherical cap $C \subset \mathbb{S}^n$ and the Riemannian k-volume in $\mathbb{S}^n$ is attained at intersections $C \cap \mathbb{S}^k$ passing through the center of C.*

Hyperbolic geometry in the Poincaré model has the conformal factor $\frac{1}{1-x^2}$. Thus repeating the argument for the spherical case in the right part of Equation (4.3) we obtain $Ac^{k-1}\left(\frac{1+x^2}{1-(cx)^2}\right)^k$, which obviously increases in x. This proves the hyperbolic case for regular maps. Let us formulate this:

Proposition 4.3. *The waist of sufficiently regular maps $B \to Y^{n-k}$ for a hyperbolic ball $B \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ and the Riemannian k-volume in $\mathbb{H}^n$ is attained at intersections $B \cap \mathbb{H}^k$ passing through the center of B.*

4.2. **Riemannian k-volume version of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3.** Corollary 1.3 is obtained from Theorem 1.2 by going to the limit $R \to \pi$, so we assume we consider the theorem and work with metric balls. We use the term *anti*-1-*Lipschitz* for maps between metric spaces that do not decrease the distance between pairs of points.

Lemma 4.4. *If M is an n-dimensional* CAT(κ) *Riemannian manifold and $\mathbb{M}^n_\kappa$ is the model space of constant curvature κ, then any metric ball $B_p(R) \subset M$ (assume $R < \pi/\sqrt{\kappa}$ for $\kappa > 0$) is an anti-1-Lipschitz image of the ball $B'_q(R) \subset \mathbb{M}^n_\kappa$.*

Proof. Since M is CAT(κ), the exponential map $\exp_p : T_pM \to M$ can be considered a diffeomorphism between the balls of radius R in the tangent space and in the manifold itself. Moreover, if we consider the similar exponential map on the model space $\exp_q : T_q\mathbb{M}^n_\kappa \to \mathbb{M}^n_\kappa$ then we obtain that the map

$$g = \exp_p \circ \exp_q^{-1}$$

is defined for all points of $B'_q(R)$ and does not decrease the distance from the triangle comparison property of CAT(κ). □

So we have an anti-1-Lipschitz map h from $B'_q(R) \subset \mathbb{M}^n_\kappa$ to $B_p(R) \subset M$. In order to study the Riemannian k-volume of the fibers of f we may observe that under certain regularity assumptions on f, a fiber X of $f \circ h$ has k-volume at least $\operatorname{vol}_k B^k(R)$, where $B^k(R)$ is the k-dimensional ball in the model space. After that we just observe that $h(X)$ is the corresponding fiber of f and its Riemannian k-volume cannot decrease under an anti-1-Lipschitz map h.

5. Proofs of the waist theorems for the lower Minkowski content

5.1. **Waist of the sphere for discontinuous maps.** Compared to the results of the previous section, Gromov and Memarian proved the waist of the sphere theorem in a more general setting: For continuous maps and the sharp estimate for the volumes of all t-neighborhoods of the fiber $f^{-1}(y)$. Let us make a generalization of Gromov's waist of the sphere theorem to the case when f is not defined on the whole sphere, because in the previous section we already needed a particular case of this theorem with one point of discontinuity.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume $V_1, \dots, V_N$ are linear subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ of dimension $\le k-1$ each and $k > 1$. Given a continuous map*

$$f : \mathbb{S}^n \setminus \bigcup_i V_i \to Y,$$

with $\mathbb{S}^n$ the unit round sphere, Y an $(n-k)$-dimensional manifold, it is possible to find $y \in Y$ such that

$$\operatorname{vol} \nu_t(f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{S}^n) \ge \operatorname{vol} \nu_t(\mathbb{S}^k, \mathbb{S}^n)$$

and in particular

$$\underline{\mathcal{M}}_k f^{-1}(y) \ge \operatorname{vol}_k \mathbb{S}^k.$$

Proof. In fact the proof in [9, 15] works fine for this statement and we assume the familiarity of the reader with the structure of that proof.

That proof consisted in successively cutting the sphere $\mathbb{S}^n$ with hyperplanes to make a binary tree of the parts (*pancakes*), and the normals of the hyperplanes of ith stage of the tree were chosen perpendicular to a certain prescribed subspace L_i of dimension $k-1$. The subspaces L_i were not quite arbitrary, but the assumption needed for the proof was that when $i \to \infty$ the subspaces L_i must be dense in the Grassmannian $G_{k,n+1}$.

Note that this assumption will be still satisfied if we choose the initial list of subspaces L_i also covering V_1, …, V_N. Then the hyperplane cuts will contain the V_i and the open parts of

such a binary subdivision will be contained in $\mathbb{S}^n \setminus \bigcup_i V_i$, where the map is defined. The rest of the argument in the proof in [15] works without change, including the generalization to the case Y an arbitrary manifold from [12]. □

In order to cover the case $k = 1$ we have the following:

Theorem 5.2. *Let $x \in \mathbb{S}^n$ be a point in the unit round sphere. Given a continuous map*

$$f : \mathbb{S}^n \setminus \{x\} \to Y,$$

where Y is a smooth $(n-1)$-dimensional manifold, it is possible to find $y \in Y$ such that

$$\underline{\mathcal{M}}_1 f^{-1}(y) \geq \operatorname{vol}_1 \mathbb{S}^1 = 2\pi.$$

Proof. Again, we need to examine the proof in [9, 15]. In this case we split the sphere into equal volume pancakes $P_1, \dots, P_N$, where N is a big power of two, and apply a Borsuk–Ulam type argument to make the images of their "centers" equal. Here we assume all pancakes thin, close to $(n-1)$-dimensional subspheres S_i, and take the centers to be near the points of maximum density of the projection of the volume of P_i to S_i.

In fact, it is possible to imagine the center of P_i as the center of the largest inscribed ball of P_i. Now choose a small $\varepsilon > 0$ and an even smaller $\delta > 0$ and modify f in the δ-neighborhood of x, $\nu_\delta(x)$ so that it becomes continuous. This is possible since f can be continuously extended from the sphere $\partial\nu_\delta(x)$ to the ball $\mathbb{S}^n \setminus \nu_\delta(x)$ and therefore it is also possible to extend it continuously to the closure of the open ball $\nu_\delta(x)$.

Apply the argument from [9, 15] to the modified map. It produces some $y_\delta \in Y$ and an estimate of the volume of t-neighborhoods of $f^{-1}(y_\delta)$ for $t >> \delta$, the estimate being sum of the estimates for the t-neighborhoods of the center of P_i in P_i. If we want to check how our adjustment of the map f affects the estimate, we see that the adjustment is proportional to the total volume of those P_i whose centers fit into $\nu_\delta(x)$.

Let us show that the total volume of those P_i that touch $\nu_\delta(x)$ cannot be too big. If it is greater than a certain value V then by the main argument from [9, 15] we obtain that the t-neighborhood of the set of their centers, for $t >> \delta$, is at least

$$V \frac{\operatorname{vol} \nu_t \mathbb{S}^1}{\operatorname{vol} \mathbb{S}^n} = \frac{V}{\operatorname{vol} \mathbb{S}^n} 2\pi v_{n-1} t^{n-1}(1 + o(1)),$$

here $o(t)$ means for $t \to +0$. At the same time it is at most the volume of the $(t+\delta)$-neighborhood of x, which is

$$\operatorname{vol} \nu_{t+\delta}(x) = v_n (t+\delta)^n (1 + o(1)).$$

For small t and $\delta << t$ we see that the error produced by V must be $O(t)$, that is must be sufficiently small. This means that the estimate of the t-neighborhood is no worse than $1 - O(t)$ times the original estimate, which is good for us since in this theorem we are only interested in the limit case $t \to +0$.

After that we pass to the limit $\delta \to +0$, then pass to the limit $N \to \infty$ as it is done in [9, 15], choose a single value of y from a certain compactness argument. The proof in [9, 15] shows that the Borsuk–Ulam-type theorem delivers the value of y that is attained in any hemisphere. In particular, y is attained in the opposite to x hemisphere that is a compact set, that is the compactness argument works. □

Remark 5.3. In Theorem 5.2 it is impossible to have two points of discontinuity on the sphere. Just because after dropping the north and the south poles it is possible to project the sphere onto its equator with all fibers of such a projection no longer than π.

The proof above does not work in this situation because the identity map $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ cannot be extended to the ball B^n with boundary $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. But this observation shows that in Theorem 5.2 it is possible to drop arbitrarily many points from the sphere assuming the absence of such topological obstructions, in particular, assuming vanishing of the homotopy group $\pi_{n-1}(Y) = 0$.

5.2. **Argument for the lower Minkowski content in Theorem 1.1.** An appropriate versions of Propositions 4.2 and 4.3 would follow if we had an estimate similar to (3.2) generalized to the lower Minkowski content from the Riemannian k-volume, as well as a similar estimate for the conformal projections that we use in the proof. This all could be done if we understood the behavior of the (weighted) Minkowski content under smooth transformations, similar to the estimate made in [3] for linear transformations. We could not find appropriate references and are aware of the lack of additivity of the Minkowski content that complicates matters. Therefore we follow another way and invoke the Gromov–Memarian proof directly rather then their result.

Note that we have made several maps that transformed the original $\mathbb{S}^n$ to what we call C or B, the metric ball in the model space. These maps behave smoothly except for near one point (call it *the north pole*), where it is not defined; but here we take Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 into account.

We again look at the original proof in [9, 15] and check what changes are needed there when we pass to a new Riemannian metric g. Let the metric g be the pull-back of the metric in C or B to $\mathbb{S}^n$, thus we just consider the same space with two different metrics g_0 (the round sphere) and g. Since the map we consider is radially symmetric, at any point $x \in \mathbb{S}^n$ at distance d from the south pole $s \in \mathbb{S}^n$ the metric g compares to the metric g_0 as increased $\lambda^{\|}(d)$ times along the tangent to the geodesic $[s, r]$ and increased $\lambda^{\perp}(d)$ times in the perpendicular direction.

Consider a standard geodesic subsphere $\mathbb{S}^k \subset \mathbb{S}^n$ passing through s. Remark 3.2 just means that any g_0-geodesic k-dimensional ball $B^k(d) \subseteq \mathbb{S}^k$ centered at s has the same Riemannian k-volume with respect to g as with respect to g_0, after scaling g_0 by a suitable constant to make g and g_0 Riemannian k-volumes of $\mathbb{S}^k$ equal. From the radial symmetry any such $B^k(d)$ is also g-geodesic, in the stereographic image in $\mathbb{R}^n$ it is just a flat k-dimensional ball centered at the origin. In our terminology the equality of k-volumes means $\lambda^{\|}(d) \cdot \lambda^{\perp}(d)^{k-1} = 1$ for all $d > 0$. Moreover, Condition (3.1) in the current setting means that $\lambda^{\perp}(d) \geq \lambda^{\|}(d)$ (this inequality is preserved under conformal maps).

In [9, 15] the estimate for the volume (standard measure on the sphere) of a t-neighborhood of $f^{-1}(y)$ was given by decomposing the sphere into equal volume sets $P_1, \dots, P_{2^\ell}$ that δ-close (for some $\delta \ll t$) to $(n-k)$-dimensional geodesic subspheres $T_1, \dots, T_{2^\ell}$, the sets P_i were called *pancakes*. For every pancake P_i, its volume was projected to T_i and a measure μ_i in T_i was obtained with certain concavity properties. The point of maximum density of this measure was considered as a *center* of P_i, $c_i \in T_i$. After that a Borsuk–Ulam argument was used to adjust the partition into the P_i so that the centers go to the same point under f, thus considering $\{c_1, \dots, c_{2^\ell}\}$ as a candidate for $f^{-1}(y)$. Then, fixing $t > 0$, an estimate for the volume of the intersection of $B_{c_i}(t) \cap P_i$ was made and the sum of those estimates was what expected for $\delta \to +0$ keeping t fixed.

In fact, in both [9] and [15] *infinite partitions* were considered, where the pancakes P_c, after passing to the limit, were replaced by a continuum of Borel measures μ_c with certain concavity properties ($\sin^{n-k}$-concave), having convex support of dimension at most $(n-k)$ each. The argument in fact was a bit more complicated, since an introduction of a parameter $r > 0$ was needed, which was used to smoothen the measures μ_c with radius r and then work with the centers of maximal density of the smoothened measures. After that a going to the limit argument $r \to +0$ was applied, in our case we must go to the limit $t \to +0$ after that.

Now we check what changes in the pancake argument, if we take another metric g instead of g_0, simplifying our task by only considering the limit case $t \to +0$. Taking some fixed distance t in the metric g we see that its t-balls are now different in different parts of the sphere. Most of them for small t will be approximate ellipsoids, those close to the north pole, where we have a discontinuity, will have size in the perpendicular direction tending to zero, since the metric g tends to infinity there, along the perpendicular directions. Let us make a general observation that the Minkowski content will be estimated up to $(k+1)\alpha$ percent error, if we estimate the

metric with α percent error for small α, this allows us to describe the metric g locally as a quadratic form at some point compared to another quadratic form g_0.

Now we look at a metric ball $B_c(t,g)$ centered at its respective pancake (call it P_c) as in the original argument and want to understand how the intersection $B_c(t,g)\cap P_c$ looks like. Such a small ball $B_c(t,g)$ looks like a g_0-ball stretched in the south-north direction. Near the north pole $B_c(t,g)$ may be very much stretched, but outside a small neighborhood of the north pole they are only moderately stretched with bounded ratio of axes. The following observations produce the estimate we need:

1) Outside a certain neighborhood of the north pole, at distance d from the south pole s, for sufficiently small t, the ball $B_c(g,t)$ contains (up to a small percent error) the ball $B_c(t/\lambda^\perp(d),g_0)$ (recall that $\lambda^\perp(d)\geq\lambda^\parallel(d)$). Then we may estimate the intersection $B_c(g,t)\cap P_c$ from below by the intersection $B_c(t/\lambda^\perp(d),g_0)\cap P_c$. For the latter we have an estimate from the original proof, but we need to multiply it by $\sqrt{\det g}/\sqrt{\det g_0}$ since we pass to the g-Riemannian volume. Speaking about infinite partitions and the measure μ_c with $(n-k)$-dimensional convex support, we assume a certain original estimate (from [9, 15]) for $\mu_c B_c(t,g_0)$, which we do not need in an explicit form. In our case we shrink the radius of the ball $1/\lambda^\perp(d)$ times, thus possibly getting the factor $\frac{1}{(\lambda^\perp(d))^{n-k}}$ in the original estimate, up to arbitrarily small percent of error if the ball is small (just because the original estimate sums to the volume of the t-neighborhood of $\mathbb{S}^k\subset\mathbb{S}^n$). After multiplying by $\sqrt{\det g}/\sqrt{\det g_0}=\lambda^\parallel(d)\lambda^\perp(d)^{n-1}$ to account for the change in the Riemannian density, we get the factor $\lambda^\parallel(d)\cdot\lambda^\perp(d)^{k-1}=1$. This means that we have the estimate equivalent to the original Gromov–Memarian estimate, that is within arbitrarily small percent of error for sufficiently small $t>0$.

2) Near the north pole this does not work because there the balls $B_c(t,g)$ may become too thin in the perpendicular direction compared to $B_c(t,g_0)$, but this only happen in a very small neighborhood of the north pole that we may choose sufficiently small, only decreasing the result by an arbitrarily small percentage.

3) The resulting estimates obtained by the summation over all the pancakes must be tight for $t\to+0$ just because in the test case when $f^{-1}(y)$ is a geodesic $\mathbb{S}^k\subset\mathbb{S}^n$ passing through the south pole everything matches to give the Riemannian k-volume of $\mathbb{S}^k$ with respect to g, which we have observed to be the same as the Riemannian k-volume of $\mathbb{S}^k$ with respect to g_0.

5.3. **Another result on t-neighborhood waist in arbitrary norm.** Let us explain how one can produce another theorem on the waist of the ball. This time the ball will be the unit ball of a (possibly non-symmetric) norm in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and the t-neighborhood will be understood in the same norm. We will give a version of [13, Theorem 5.7], where y may depend on t, improving the bound on the measure of the t-neighborhood instead:

Theorem 5.4. *Suppose $K\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ is a convex body, μ is a finite log-concave measure supported in K, and $f:K\to Y$ is a continuous map to a $(n-k)$-manifold Y. Then for any $t\in[0,1]$ there exists $y\in Y$ such that*

$$\mu(f^{-1}(y)+tK)\geq t^{n-k}\mu K.$$

Proof. Again, the procedure is similar to the proof of Gromov's waist of the Gaussian measure theorem [9], see also a clean and detailed exposition in [13].

- Split K into many $(n-k)$-*pancakes* $P_1,\dots,P_N$ of equal μ-measures, that is sets δ-close to $(n-k)$-dimensional affine subspaces. We will choose $\delta\ll t$, then there must be some going to the limit argument for $\delta\to+0$.
- Once a pancake P is close to an $(n-k)$-dimensional affine subspace T, choose the point $c(P)$ where the density of the volume of P projected to T is maximal. The projected density will be log-concave by the Prékopa–Leindler inequality and the set of points of maximum density will be convex.
- A certain version of the Borsuk–Ulam-type theorem [13] must be used when creating $N=2^\ell$ pancakes so that $f(c(P_1))=f(c(P_2))=\dots=f(c(P_N))$. In fact, in [9, 15, 13]

some effort was put on choosing the central point $c(P_i)$ continuously in P_i, this was achieved by allowing it to be an approximate central point, see [13, Lemma 4.5] for example. For our purposes we only need to know that it is possible to have the equality $f(c(P_1)) = f(c(P_2)) = \cdots = f(c(P_N))$ for points $c(P_i)$ that are "sufficiently central", meaning that an estimate on the volume of P_i intersected with a certain convex body centered at $c(P_i)$ is spoiled by an arbitrarily small percent of error compared to the real central point.

In fact, *we will have to replace* $f(c(P_1)) = f(c(P_2)) = \cdots = f(c(P_N))$ *this with another equality* after a closer investigation of the problem.

- Establish a simple

Lemma 5.5. *If μ is a log-concave measure in a convex body $L \subset \mathbb{R}^\ell$ with maximal density at $c \in L$ then*

$$\mu(t(L-c)+c) \geq t^\ell \mu(L).$$

Proof. Let ρ be the density of μ. To prove the lemma it is sufficient to note that, from the log-concavity, the density does not increase on moving along rays from c. Now we put $x = c + us$, $u \in \mathbb{S}^{\ell-1}$, $s \geq 0$ and the required estimate

$$\int_{su \in t(L-c)} \rho(us)\ell s^{\ell-1}\, dsdu \geq t^\ell \int_{su \in (L-c)} \rho(us)\ell s^{\ell-1}\, dsdu$$

follows from the easy inequality

$$\int_{s \leq tS} \rho(us) ds^\ell \geq t^\ell \int_{s \leq S} \rho(us) ds^\ell$$

after the integration over $u \in \mathbb{S}^{\ell-1}$. □

- The lemma means that $t(K - c(P_i)) + c(P_i)$ contains at least the fraction t^{n-k} of $\mu|_{P_i}$, if $\mu|_{P_i}$ equals in the limit an $(n-k)$-dimensional measure and the lemma is applied to the intersection of K and the k-dimensional affine hull of this limit $\mu|_{P_i}$. If P_i is just approximately $(n-k)$-dimensional and the central point is not precisely central then this estimate is approximate, but tends to be precise in the limit $\delta \to +0$, when P_i tends to at most $(n-k)$-dimensional log-concave measure. Note that compared to [13, Lemma 4.5] we use homotheties with variable centers, but a uniform estimate for approximate centers is possible if the center of homothety in K is at some distance d from ∂K. This can be obtained, for example, by making μ zero at the d-neighborhood of ∂K, obtaining some $f^{-1}(y_d)$ with a worse estimate, and then going to the limit $d \to +0$ so that y_d also tends to some y.
- Note that $t(K-c(P_i))+c(P_i) = tK+(1-t)c(P_i)$ and note that if we want to Minkowski-add tK to the fiber of the map then the Borsuk–Ulam-type theorem in fact had to be applied to the equality

$$f((1-t)c(P_1)) = f((1-t)c(P_2)) = \cdots = f((1-t)c(P_N)).$$

But this equality is achievable by the Borsuk–Ulam-type theorem, since the values $f((1-t)c(P_i))$ depend on P_i continuously. *Note that the dependence of y on t arises here.*

- Eventually, summing the estimates in all the pancakes we arrive to an estimate

$$\mu \bigcup_{t=1}^{N} (tK + (1-t)c(P_i)) \geq t^{n-k}\mu K - \varepsilon(\delta)$$

with $\varepsilon(\delta) \to +0$ as $\delta \to +0$.
- Put $y_\delta = f((1-t)c(P_1)) = \cdots = f((1-t)c(P_N))$ and make going to the limit as $\delta \to +0$ and y_δ depending on δ tends to some y. The details are skipped here and can be found in [13].

□

6. Monotonicity of the Minkowski content

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 1.2 using Lemma 4.4 we arrive at the question whether the Minkowski content is monotone with respect to 1-Lipschitz maps of complete Riemannian manifolds. We are going to establish a certain monotonicity property and prove our estimates for the waist in terms of the upper Minkowski content.

Theorem 6.1. *Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold and N be another complete Riemannian manifold. If $X \subseteq M$ is compact and the map $f : X \to N$ is 1-Lipschitz* ($\operatorname{dist}(f(x), f(y)) \leq \operatorname{dist}(x, y)$) *then*

$$\underline{\mathcal{M}}_k(f(X), N) \leq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(X, M).$$

In case the lower and the upper Minkowski content for X and $f(X)$ coincide, we just say that the Minkowski content decreases under a 1-Lipschitz map. The proof of this theorem consists of essentially known results and a particular case it, for the Euclidean space, is proved independently in [7, Proposition 4.1].

6.1. Constructions for the Minkowski content and the Kneser–Poulsen-type results.

We start with investigating the dependence of the Minkowski content on the ambient manifold. We will generalize the argument from [14, 17] to arbitrary Riemannian manifolds other than $\mathbb{R}^n$. Start with the case of comparing $\nu_t(X, M)$ and $\nu_t(X, M \times \mathbb{R}^\ell)$, where the product takes the product Riemannian structure. From the Fubini theorem we have

$$\operatorname{vol} \nu_t(X, M \times \mathbb{R}^\ell) = \int_0^t \ell v_\ell u^{\ell-1} \operatorname{vol} \nu_{\sqrt{t^2-u^2}}(X, M)\, du = \int_0^t \operatorname{vol} \nu_s(X, M) \ell v_\ell (t^2 - s^2)^{(\ell-2)/2} s\, ds.$$

The inequality $\operatorname{vol} \nu_s(X, M) \geq V v_{n-k} s^{n-k}$ for a certain constant V for sufficiently small s implies the following inequality for sufficiently small t:

$$\operatorname{vol} \nu_t(X, M \times \mathbb{R}^\ell) \geq V \int_0^t v_{n-k} s^{n-k} \ell v_\ell (t^2 - s^2)^{(\ell-2)/2} s\, ds =$$

$$= V t^{n+\ell-k} v_{n-k} v_\ell \ell \int_0^1 x^{n-k+1} (1 - x^2)^{(\ell-2)/2}\, dx = V v_{n+\ell-k} t^{n+\ell-k},$$

where we use the equality:

$$v_{n-k} v_\ell \ell \int_0^1 x^{n-k+1} (1 - x^2)^{(\ell-2)/2}\, dx = v_{n+\ell-k}.$$

This can be seen from the geometric interpretation of $v_{n+\ell-k}$ or directly from formulas for v_n.

We get the same Minkowki content estimate for the higher ambient dimension. The same applies to an estimate from above, establishing

Lemma 6.2.

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(X, M \times \mathbb{R}^\ell) \leq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(X, M) \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\mathcal{M}}_k(X, M \times \mathbb{R}^\ell) \geq \underline{\mathcal{M}}_k(X, M).$$

Now we are going to extend this lemma to arbitrary emdeddings $M \subset M'$:

Lemma 6.3. *If $M \subset M'$ is en embedding and M inherits its Riemannian structure from M' then for a bounded subset $X \subset M$*

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(X, M') \leq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(X, M) \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\mathcal{M}}_k(X, M') \geq \underline{\mathcal{M}}_k(X, M).$$

Proof. Let $\dim M' - \dim M = \ell$ and identify the normal bundle to M in M' with $M \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$ with its corresponding Riemannian structure. Then the exponential map

$$\exp : M \times \mathbb{R}^\ell \to M'$$

has the property: For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exits δ such that the metric and the volumes are deformed at most $(1+\varepsilon)$ times when we restrict exp to $M \times B_\delta(0)$ in a neighborhood of the bounded set X. Now from the definition of the Minkowski content of a subset of M, we see that those values may change $(1+\delta)^{m+\ell+1}$ times at most under the map exp. Since $m+\ell$ is a constant here and δ is arbitrary, we obtain the result. □

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Assume the contrary: $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_k(f(X), N) > \overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(X, M)$. Moreover, slightly decreasing the metric on N we may still assume the contrary and also assume that the map f is λ-Lipschitz with constant $\lambda < 1$. The general case is obtained by going to the limit.

Let us isometrically embed M and N to some big $\mathbb{R}^L$. Such an embedding is possible, see [16], and allows us to work in the Euclidean space assuming $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_k(f(X), \mathbb{R}^L) > \overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(X, \mathbb{R}^L)$. Increasing L further if necessary, we may also assume $X \subset V$, $f(X) \subset V^\perp$ for two complementary orthogonal subspaces $V, V^\perp \in \mathbb{R}^L$.

Note that after the embedding the map need not remain λ-Lipschitz, since the isometric Riemannian embedding is a local notion and is not necessarily isometric in the category of metric spaces. We only know that the distances decrease locally. Using the compactness of X and we may find $d > 0$ such that for every pair $x, y \in X$ with $|f(x) - f(y)| \le d$ we still have

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le \lambda' |x - y|$$

for some $\lambda' < 1$. After that in the definition of the Minkowski content we only consider $t < d/2$.

Let us produce a whole family of maps $f_\alpha : X \to \mathbb{R}^L$ for $\alpha \in [0, \pi/2]$ such that $f_0 = \mathrm{id}$, $f_{\pi/2} = f$ and for any two points $x, y \in X$ with $|x - y| \le d$ the values

$$|f_\alpha(x) - f_\alpha(y)|$$

are decreasing in α. This map is constructed by putting

$$f_\alpha(x) = \cos\alpha\, x \oplus \sin\alpha\, f(x)$$

if we assume the decomposition $V \oplus V^\perp = \mathbb{R}^L$ with $X \subset V$ and $f(X) \subset V^\perp$.

Now we invoke the result of Csikós [6]: If a finite family of balls in $\mathbb{R}^L$ moves so that the distance between any pair of their centers not increases then the volume of the union of the family of balls decreases. We also remark that in the proof of this result in [6] it is only used that the distances decrease for those pairs of balls that intersect each other or start to intersect each other in the process of motion, because the derivative of the distance appears in the estimate only if there is a wall between Voronoi cells of the pair of balls inside their intersection. This assumption is satisfied in our setting.

Now a t-neighborhood of X and $f(X)$ can be approximated by a union of a finite set of balls of radius t having centers at X and $f(X)$. Therefore, for a fixed t, the volumes $\operatorname{vol} \nu_t(f_\alpha(X), \mathbb{R}^L)$ must not increase in $\alpha \in [0, \pi/2]$. This makes a contradiction with our assumption and completes the proof. □

6.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3.** To prove Theorem 1.2 we use Lemma 4.4 to obtain an anti-1-Lipschits map h from $B'_q(R) \subset \mathbb{M}^n_\kappa$ to $B_p(R) \subset M$. Then apply Theorem 1.1 to the composition $f \circ h$ to have a set $X \subset \mathbb{M}^n_\kappa$ such that $f(h(X))$ is one point and $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_k X \ge \operatorname{vol}_k B^k(R)$, where $B^k(R)$ is the k-dimensional ball in the model space. Put $Y = h(X)$, since the map h in anti-1-Lipschitz, Theorem 6.1 asserts that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k Y \ge \operatorname{vol}_k B^k(R)$, and we are done since $f(Y)$ is a single point. Corollary 1.3 follows immediately.

Remark 6.4. In principle the argument with pancakes from Section 5.2 could be applied to the sphere and the pull-back of the metric from M on the sphere, this corresponds to replacing the metric g in the above argument by another metric $g' \ge g$. The difficulty here is that the Gromov–Memarian estimate for $\mu_c B_c(t, g_0)$ is hard to extend from balls to small ellipsoids; in the our proof of Theorem 1.1 the argument worked just because it turned out to be sufficient to estimate the ellipsoid with a ball put inside it.

References

[1] A. Akopyan, A. Hubard, and R. Karasev. Lower and upper bounds for the waists of different spaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.06926*, 2016.

[2] A. Akopyan, A. Hubard, and R. Karasev. Lower and upper bounds for the waists of different spaces. 2016. arXiv:1612.06926.

[3] A. Akopyan and R. Karasev. A tight estimate for the waist of the ball. 2016. arXiv:1608.06279.

[4] F. J. Almgren. The theory of varifolds: a variational calculus in the large for the k-dimensional area integrand. 1965.

[5] J. Cheeger, M. Gromov, and M. Taylor. Finite propagation speed, kernel estimates for functions of the laplace operator, and the geometry of complete riemannian manifolds. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 17:15–53, 1982.

[6] B. Csikós. On the volume of the union of balls. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 20(4):449–461, 1998.

[7] B. Csikós. On the volume of the union of balls — a review of the Kneser–Poulsen conjecture. In *New Trends in Intuitive Geometry*. Springer Verlag, 2017.

[8] M. Gromov. CAT(k)-spaces: construction and concentration. *Zapiski nauchnykh seminarov POMI*, 280:101–140, 2001. www.ihes.fr/ gromov/PDF/6[110].pdf.

[9] M. Gromov. Isoperimetry of waists and concentration of maps. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 13:178–215, 2003.

[10] M. Gromov. Number of questions. *preprint*, 2014.

[11] R. Karasev. A topological central point theorem. *Topology and its Applications*, 159(3):864–868, 2012.

[12] R. Karasev and A. Volovikov. Waist of the sphere for maps to manifolds. *Topology and its Applications*, 160(13):1592–1602, 2013. arXiv:1102.0647.

[13] B. Klartag. Convex geometry and waist inequalities. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 27(1):130–164, 2017. arXiv:1608.04121.

[14] M. Kneser. Einige bemerkungen über das minkowskische flächenmass. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 6:382–390, 1955.

[15] Y. Memarian. On Gromov's waist of the sphere theorem. *Journal of Topology and Analysis*, 03(01):7–36, 2011. arXiv:0911.3972.

[16] J. Nash. The imbedding problem for Riemannian manifolds. *Annals of Mathematics*, 63(1):20–63, 1956.

[17] M. Resman. Invariance of the normalized Minkowski content with respect to the ambient space. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 57:123–128, 2013.

[18] V. A. Toponogov. *Differential geometry of curves and surfaces*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2006. A concise guide, With the editorial assistance of Vladimir Y. Rovenski.

[19] J. D. Vaaler. A geometric inequality with applications to linear forms. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 83(2):543–553, 1979.

E-mail address: akopjan@gmail.com

E-mail address: r_n_karasev@mail.ru
URL: http://www.rkarasev.ru/en/

♠ Institute of Science and Technology Austria (IST Austria), Am Campus 1, 3400 Klosterneuburg, Austria

♣ Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Institutskiy per. 9, Dolgoprudny, Russia 141700

♣ Institute for Information Transmission Problems RAS, Bolshoy Karetny per. 19, Moscow, Russia 127994